

LTH-294

Algorithm for computing the β -function of quantum
electrodynamics in the large N_f expansion.

J.A. Gracey,
Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
University of Liverpool,
P.O. Box 147,
Liverpool,
L69 3BX,
United Kingdom.

Abstract. By considering corrections to the asymptotic scaling functions of the photon and electron in quantum electrodynamics with N_f flavours, we solve the skeleton Dyson equations at $O(1/N_f)$ in the large N_f expansion at the d -dimensional critical point of the theory and deduce the critical exponent $\beta'(g_c)$, in arbitrary dimensions, and subsequently present a method for computing higher order corrections to $\beta(g)$.

1 Introduction.

Recently, various authors have provided an insight into the four loop structure of quantum electrodynamics, (QED), by calculating the β -function to this order, [1]. This renormalization group function, which describes how the renormalized coupling constant depends on the renormalization scale, is important in relating theoretical predictions of the theory with experiment. From a technical point of view, the computation of the functions which arise in the renormalization group equation, and also include, for example, the anomalous dimension of the fundamental fields, become quite intricate after several orders, [1]. Indeed the latest calculations could only be completed in a reasonable time with the use of computer algebra packages, [2]. To push the accuracy of current perturbative calculations beyond the present four loop status, an important aid is the provision of independent (analytic) analysis. In general, this is not usually possible for all renormalizable quantum field theories. For a subclass of theories which possess an internal symmetry, however, it is possible to carry out different perturbative analysis, which can then be related to the conventional coupling constant perturbation series. For instance, if a theory possesses an $O(N)$ or $U(N)$ internal symmetry, one can let the parameter N become large, whence $1/N$ becomes small, and therefore reorder the perturbation series to analyse all $O(1/N)$ graphs initially, before proceeding to the subsequent order. More specifically, this large N expansion corresponds to reordering perturbation theory, such that one sums chains of bubble graphs first. As we will be discussing QED in detail here, we note that with N_f flavours of electrons this theory indeed possesses a large N_f expansion.

Earlier studies of QED in a large N_f expansion were carried out in [3, 4], where the authors performed the explicit bubble sum for the photon and then used it to renormalize the one loop graphs in minimal subtraction, (MS), using dimensional regularization in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions. In this way, the β -function as well as the renormalization group function corresponding to the electron mass were deduced at $O(1/N_f)$. Indeed this independent result was used in [1] to check the 4-loop term where possible. More recently, the electron anomalous dimension was computed in the Landau gauge to $O(1/N_f)$ in [5] and later to $O(1/N_f^2)$ in [6]. These calculations were carried out without having to explicitly sum any bubble graphs and perform the usual renormalization. Instead the model was analysed at the d -dimensional critical point where the fields obey asymptotic scaling and are conformal, and the theory is finite. Consequently, taking a general power law struc-

ture for the fields in the neighbourhood of criticality, where the (critical) exponent depends only on N_f and d , and are related to the renormalization group function corresponding to the electron anomalous dimension, $\gamma(g)$, it was possible to deduce the critical exponent algebraically by solving the truncated skeleton Dyson equation with dressed propagators. Indeed this approach was based on the pioneering work of [7, 8] which dealt with the $O(N)$ bosonic σ model and extensions to other models, [9, 10]. Knowledge of these exponents in arbitrary dimensions gives an indirect way of determining the renormalization group functions. Since the exponent can be expanded in powers of ϵ and the critical coupling $g_c \sim 3\epsilon/N_f$, taking a general form for say $\gamma(g)$, its undetermined n th order coefficient can be deduced from the coefficient of ϵ^n in the expansion of the critical exponent.

In this paper, we will extend the formalism of [6, 8] to compute the β -function critical exponent for QED at $O(1/N_f)$, which is important for several reasons. First, it will, of course, provide an independent check on the result of [3] and also ensure that the method we will use is correct. As far as we are aware, the proper treatment of the corrections to the asymptotic scaling functions of a $U(1)$ gauge field has not been given previously. Having demonstrated its usefulness here, it will open up the possibility of carrying out further calculations in other models, such as the bosonic $CP(N)$ σ model, which possess a $U(1)$ gauge field. Second, and more importantly, the formalism we introduce, will provide the foundation for probing the β -function to $O(1/N_f^2)$ and we will outline several technical points in formulating the algorithm to carry this out. Indeed, we suspect the method of [8], which has proved successful in providing the only $O(1/N^2)$ information of critical exponents in other models, will provide a quicker evaluation from a technical point of view than [3], since, for example, one does not have to compute bubble sum corrections with massive electrons.

The paper is organised as follows. In sect. 2, we introduce the formalism we will use, discussing in detail the derivation of the asymptotic scaling form of the propagators and 2-point functions of the various fields. These are used in sect. 3, to represent the Dyson equations at criticality by algebraic equations, which can be solved systematically at each order in $1/N_f$. After pointing out the need to include a higher order two loop graph, which is a novel feature for fermionic theories compared with the treatment of bosonic theories of [7, 8], we proceed to compute it in sect. 4, where we give an extensive discussion about how to calculate certain massless two loop Feynman diagrams, using a set of recursion relations. The full calculation is finally assembled in sect. 5, where we outline certain technical points concerning

the $O(1/N_f^2)$ evaluation of the β -function. An appendix contains a library of the basic results required at certain stages.

2 Preliminaries.

We begin by introducing the notation and basic formalism for analysing QED with N_f flavours of electrons at its critical point, defined as the non-trivial d -dimensional zero of $\beta(g)$. First, we recall the (massless) lagrangian is

$$L = i\bar{\psi}^i \not{\partial} \psi^i + A_\mu \bar{\psi}^i \gamma^\mu \psi^i - \frac{(F_{\mu\nu})^2}{4e^2} - \frac{(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2}{2be^2} \quad (2.1)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq N_f$, A_μ is the $U(1)$ gauge field with $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and b is the covariant gauge parameter. We have rescaled the electron charge, e , into the quadratic term of the gauge field to ensure there is a unit coupling at the 3-vertex, in anticipation of applying the methods of [7, 8]. However, we will use $g = (e/2\pi)^2$ as our coupling constant here, following the conventions of [11]. Next, we write down the asymptotic scaling form of the propagators of the fields in the critical region, where the theory is massless and has a conformal symmetry. In earlier work, we considered only the leading order form of these functions which depend on the full dimensions of the fields. Here, we go beyond the leading order of [6] and consider corrections, where, as was discussed in other models, [8], the critical exponent involved the slope of the β -function at criticality. Thus, we take the following coordinate space forms for the propagators as

$$\psi(x) \sim \frac{A \not{x}}{(x^2)^\alpha} [1 + (x^2)^\lambda A'] \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu}(x) \sim & \frac{B}{(x^2)^\beta} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2\beta}{(2\mu - 2\beta - 1)} \frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right. \\ & \left. + (x^2)^\lambda B' \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2(\beta - \lambda)}{(2\mu - 2\beta + 2\lambda - 1)} \frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The quantities (A, B) and (A', B') are amplitudes which are independent of x , whilst α and β are the dimensions or critical exponents of the electron, ψ^i , and A_μ respectively and $2\lambda = -\beta'(g_c)$, which is a gauge independent quantity.

Several comments concerning (2.3) are in order. First, since we are dealing with a gauge field, we have to choose a gauge. As we are carrying out a large N_f expansion, this constrains us to use the Landau gauge, because

from a perturbative point of view renormalization effects are such that one remains in this gauge, which is not the case for other covariant gauges. Since the large N_f expansion is a reordering of perturbation theory such that one sums chains of bubble graphs first, choosing any other covariant gauge means one cannot compare results from the large N_f expansion with perturbation theory due to the mixing of gauges which would occur, [3, 4]. Secondly, with this choice, we note that one can recover the normal Landau gauge structure of $A_{\mu\nu}$ by transforming (2.3) to momentum space via the Fourier transform, [7],

$$\frac{1}{(x^2)^\alpha} = \frac{a(\alpha)}{2^{2\alpha}\pi^\mu} \int_k \frac{e^{ikx}}{(k^2)^{\mu-\alpha}} \quad (2.4)$$

valid for all α , and its derivatives, where $a(\alpha) = \Gamma(\mu - \alpha)/\Gamma(\alpha)$, whence (2.3) takes the form

$$\frac{\tilde{B}}{(k^2)^{\mu-\alpha}} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right] \left[1 + \frac{\tilde{B}'}{(k^2)^\lambda} \right] \quad (2.5)$$

This also illustrates our next point concerning the form of the corrections we take for the asymptotic scaling form of the A_μ propagator and why the form of (2.3) might have appeared contrived. In fact, we have taken the simplest correction for $A_{\mu\nu}$ in momentum space having been motivated partly by the way one deals with bosonic and fermionic fields.

From a dimensional analysis of the action, we define, [5],

$$\alpha = \mu + \frac{1}{2}\eta \quad , \quad \beta = 1 - \eta - \chi \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mu = d/2$, which introduces the electron anomalous dimension, η , and the anomalous dimension of the 3-vertex of (2.1), χ . Both are $O(1/N_f)$ within the large N_f expansion and have been calculated to $O(1/N_f^2)$ and $O(1/N_f)$, respectively, in the Landau gauge in [6, 7, 13], by considering only the leading order terms of (2.2) and (2.3), ie

$$\eta_1 = -\chi_1 = -\frac{(2\mu-1)(2-\mu)\Gamma(2\mu)}{4\Gamma^2(\mu)\Gamma(\mu+1)\Gamma(2-\mu)} \quad (2.7)$$

which is all we require for this paper, where $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i/N_f^i$.

A final piece of formalism required for the Dyson equation is the scaling forms of the 2-point functions, denoted by $\psi^{-1}(x)$ and $A_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x)$. The formula for a fermion field was introduced in [10] as

$$\psi^{-1}(x) \sim \frac{r(\alpha-1)\not{x}}{A(x^2)^{2\mu-\alpha+1}} [1 - A's(\alpha-1, \lambda)(x^2)^\lambda] \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$r(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha a(\alpha - \mu)}{(\mu - \alpha)a(\alpha)\pi^{2\mu}} \quad , \quad s(\alpha, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \mu)q(\alpha, \lambda)}{(\alpha - \mu + \lambda)(\alpha - \lambda)} \quad (2.9)$$

with $q(\alpha, \lambda) = a(\alpha - \mu + \lambda)a(\alpha - \lambda)/a(\alpha - \mu)a(\alpha)$, and is derived as follows. One first maps (2.2) to momentum space and inverts the scaling function on this space via $G^{-1}G = 1$, to give the momentum space form of the 2-point function, before converting back to coordinate space, [7]. In the case of the gauge field one restricts the inversion to the transverse subspace of momentum space since this is the only physically relevant piece, [13, 14], and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x) &\sim \frac{m(\beta)}{B(x^2)^{2\mu-\beta}} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2(2\mu - \beta)}{(2\beta - 2\mu - 1)} \frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (x^2)^\lambda B' n(\beta) \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2(2\mu - \beta - \lambda)}{(2\beta + 2\lambda - 2\mu - 1)} \frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right) \right] \quad (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m(\beta) &= \frac{[4(\mu - \beta)^2 - 1]a(\beta - \mu)}{4\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - \beta)^2 a(\beta)} \\ n(\beta) &= \frac{(\mu - \beta + \lambda)(2\mu - 2\beta - 1)(2\mu - 2\beta - 2\lambda + 1)}{(\mu - \beta - \lambda)(2\mu - 2\beta + 1)(2\mu - 2\beta + 2\lambda - 1)} q(\beta, \lambda) \quad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for completeness we note that the 4-loop β -function for (2.1) is in $\overline{\text{MS}}$, [1, 15, 16, 17]

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(g) &= (d - 4)g + \frac{2N_f}{3}g^2 + \frac{N_f}{2}g^3 - \frac{N_f(22N_f + 9)}{144}g^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{N_f}{64} \left[\frac{616N_f^2}{243} + \left(\frac{416\zeta(3)}{9} - \frac{380}{27} \right) N_f + 23 \right] g^5 + O(g^6) \quad (2.12) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the location of the non-trivial d -dimensional critical point, g_c , is

$$g_c = \frac{3\epsilon}{N_f} - \frac{27\epsilon^2}{4N_f^2} + \frac{99\epsilon^3}{16N_f^2} + \frac{77\epsilon^4}{16N_f^2} + O(\epsilon^5) \quad (2.13)$$

3 Consistency equation for λ .

In this section, we discuss the derivation from the Dyson equation of the consistency equation whose solution will yield the $O(1/N_f)$ correction to λ

and will therefore become the master equation for setting up the algorithm for $O(1/N_f^2)$ corrections. Moreover, as will be seen, the derivation is not as straightforward as in other models.

First, the skeleton Dyson equations with dressed propagators we initially consider are illustrated in fig. 1, which have been truncated to the order in large N_f we presently consider. Since we have introduced our formalism of the previous section, in coordinate space, one can therefore represent fig. 1 at the critical point, (2.13), immediately, by replacing the lines of the one loop graphs by (2.2) and (2.3), without the need to compute any one loop integrals. Thus,

$$0 = r(\alpha - 1)[1 - s(\alpha - 1, \lambda)(x^2)^\lambda A'] \quad (3.1)$$

$$+ 2(2\mu - 1)z \left[\frac{(\beta - \mu + 1)}{(2\mu - 2\beta - 1)}[1 + (x^2)^\lambda A'] + \frac{(x^2)^\lambda B'(\beta - \mu - \lambda + 1)}{(2\mu - 2\beta + 2\lambda - 1)} \right]$$

$$0 = A_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x) - \frac{4zN_f}{(x^2)^{2\alpha-1}} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right) [1 + 2A'(x^2)^\lambda] \quad (3.2)$$

where $z = A^2 B$. As we noted earlier, only the transverse part of the Dyson equation for A_μ in momentum space is physically relevant. So, following [13, 14], we isolate this piece of (3.2) by first transforming (3.2) to momentum space, noting that we have not cancelled the powers of x^2 , projecting out the transverse component before applying the inverse mapping. Equivalently, one can make the replacement

$$\frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{(x^2)^\alpha} \longrightarrow \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{2(\alpha - 1)(x^2)^{\alpha-1}} \quad (3.3)$$

valid for all α , in (3.2) before discarding the isotropic tensor and then cancelling powers of x^2 . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= m(\beta) \left[\frac{(\beta - \mu)}{(2\beta - 2\mu - 1)} - \frac{B'(x^2)^\lambda n(\beta)(\beta + \lambda - \mu)}{(2\beta - 2\mu + 2\lambda - 1)} \right] \\ &- 4zN_f \left[\frac{(\alpha - 1)}{(2\alpha - 1)} + \frac{A'(x^2)^\lambda (2\alpha - \lambda - 2)}{(2\alpha - \lambda - 1)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Next, since both (3.1) and (3.4) contain terms with $(x^2)^\lambda$ and either A' or B' , we treat these portions as independent and decouple each equation into two. The terms corresponding to the leading terms of (2.2) and (2.3) give two consistency equations whose solution yield η_1 . The remaining two

equations correspond, naively, to the consistency equation whose solution ought to give λ_1 , by setting the determinant of the 2×2 matrix formed by the two remaining equations, to zero. These are

$$0 = [1 + s(\alpha - 1, \lambda)]A' + \frac{(2\mu - 2\beta - 1)(\beta - \mu - \lambda + 1)B'}{(2\mu - 2\beta + 2\lambda - 1)(\beta - \mu + 1)} \quad (3.5)$$

$$0 = \frac{(2\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - \lambda - 2)A'}{(2\alpha - \lambda - 1)(\alpha - 1)} + \frac{(\mu - \beta - \lambda)(2\mu - 2\beta + 1)n(\beta)B'}{(\mu - \beta)(2\mu - 2\beta - 2\lambda + 1)} \quad (3.6)$$

Until this point we have directly followed the formulation used in the bosonic and supersymmetric $O(N)$ σ models to determine λ , [8, 10]. However, unlike these models there is a fundamental difference in the QED formulation. With $\lambda = \mu - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i/N_f^i$,

$$s(\alpha - 1, \lambda) = \frac{4(\mu - 2)N_f}{\mu\eta_1} + O(1) \quad (3.7)$$

which is $O(N_f)$. However, from (3.6) the coefficient of B' is

$$- \frac{\mu(2\mu - 3)\lambda_1}{2(\mu - 1)^2(\mu - 2)(4\mu - 7)N_f} \quad (3.8)$$

which is $O(1/N_f)$, so that in the final expression one is left with an $O(1)$ quantity involving the unknown λ_1 . The other elements of the matrix are both $O(1)$. Of course, if one naively follows this path, the correct expression for λ_1 does not emerge, for the simple reason that a contribution has been omitted. This arises from the delicate balancing of powers of N_f between $s(\alpha - 1, \lambda)$ and the term it multiplies in the determinant to yield an $O(1)$ contribution. If we trace the origin of the $O(1/N_f)$ quantity in (3.6), it arises purely from the one loop graph of the $A_{\mu\nu}$ equation of fig. 1. However, if one considers the higher two loop graph of fig. 2, which would be the next term of the Dyson equation for the photon, then it will be of the same order as (3.11), and therefore this graph must be included. It corresponds to taking the leading term of (2.2) and the $(x^2)^\lambda$ term of (2.3), in the replacement of lines at criticality. For completeness, we remark that this reordering of graphs does not occur in the pioneering work of [7, 8], but does occur in other models with fermions, such as the $O(N)$ Gross Neveu model, though the current point was not explicitly discussed in [10].

We now amend our equations to include this extra contribution. First, we define the value of the relevant two loop correction by

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} + (x^2)^\lambda B' \hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \Pi\eta_{\mu\nu} + \Xi x_\mu x_\nu/x^2$ etc and Π , Ξ , $\hat{\Pi}$ and $\hat{\Xi}$ denote the explicit values one obtains when the corresponding two loop integral is computed. Thus, (3.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= A_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(x) - \frac{4zN_f}{(x^2)^{2\alpha-1}} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right) [1 + 2(x^2)^\lambda A'] \\
&- \frac{z^2 N_f}{(x^2)^{4\alpha+\beta-2\mu-2}} \left[\Pi\eta_{\mu\nu} + \Xi \frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right] \\
&- \frac{z^2 N_f B'}{(x^2)^{4\alpha+\beta-2\mu-2-\lambda}} \left[\hat{\Pi}\eta_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Xi} \frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Following the procedure we discussed earlier, (3.6) is then replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{8(2\alpha - \lambda - 2)A'}{(2\alpha - \lambda - 1)} + \left[\frac{8n(\beta)(\alpha - 1)(\mu - \beta - \lambda)(2\mu - 2\beta + 1)}{(2\alpha - 1)(\mu - \beta)(2\mu - 2\beta - 2\lambda + 1)} \right. \\
&+ \left. z \left(\hat{\Pi} + \frac{\hat{\Xi}}{2(4\alpha + \beta - 2\mu - \lambda - 2)} \right) \right] B'
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where it is clear that both contributions to the B' term are the same order, $O(1/N_f)$, since $\hat{\Pi} = \hat{\Xi} = 0(1)$ and $z = O(1/N_f)$.

4 Computation of $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$.

This section is devoted to evaluating $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ by building on our earlier $O(1/N_f^2)$ work, where we developed various integration rules to treat gauge fields in the self consistency formalism of [6], as well as to determine some two loop graphs similar to $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$. As mentioned, the graph of fig. 2 involves a gauge field with an exponent of $\beta - \lambda$. The shift of the exponent from the original β has a major consequence. In the absence of such an insertion, the graph corresponds to $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$, which was discussed in [6], and which is in fact infinite due to divergences from vertex subgraphs. However, one can compute the divergent piece as well as the finite piece after a suitable regulator is introduced. In the present case, the shift to $\beta - \lambda$ means $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ is finite and does not possess the infinities of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$, which will be seen from the calculation, or by realising that the insertion alters the structure of the vertex subgraphs. If, by contrast, one made a shift in an electron line, that graph would remain divergent due to the divergence arising from the vertex subgraph not affected by such a shift. Whilst $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ is finite and therefore does

not need to be regularized for subgraph infinities, this means its computation cannot be carried out easily.

In other models, however, it was possible to calculate completely finite graphs by the method of uniqueness, which was first introduced in [18] and developed in various applications later, [19-22]. The basic rule of integration is given in fig. 3, where the Greek letter beside each line corresponds to the critical exponent for that purely bosonic propagator. When the arbitrary exponents, α , β and γ , satisfy $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 2\mu$, then one can perform the integration over the point of intersection of the three external vertices to obtain the product of three propagators with suitably adjusted exponents, and represented by a triangle in fig. 3, where $\nu(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \pi^\mu \prod_{i=1}^3 a(\alpha_i)$. For QED, it ought therefore, to be possible to calculate the graph of fig. 2 directly using the same techniques. However, as we noted in [6], there is no simple uniqueness rule analogous to fig. 3 for a photon electron vertex. Indeed, for such a vertex to be unique, ie integrable, the constraint is that the sum of the exponents is $2\mu + 2$, but from (2.6), $2\alpha + \beta = 2\mu + 1$ at leading order, which is one step away from uniqueness and thus useless for our purposes. To calculate $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ in [6], in the absence of a suitable rule, meant rewriting the integral first by integration by parts rules and then taking the trace over the γ -matrices. The former step using the rules of figs. 4 and 5, reduces the number of γ -matrices or simplifies the A_μ propagator, ie the first term of the right side of fig. 4. (In figs. 4 and 5, the letter μ or ν at the vertex of integration denotes the presence of a γ -matrix there, either free or contracted with the gauge field. The appearance of a similar letter at the end of a line corresponds to a vector z_ν in the numerator of the integral, where z_μ is the vector joining this external point with the integration vertex, which we represent graphically as a long dashed line.) Having split the integral in this way, one takes the trace and then projects out the $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $x_\mu x_\nu$ components so that the graph can be written as a sum of purely bosonic two loop integrals, where the exponents are related to those of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ or shifted by integers.

We followed a similar path here to compute $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$, and if we denote by I the contribution to $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ from the first term of the right side of fig. 4 (omitting the displayed factor), and by II the remaining two vertices, we will concentrate on $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^I$. Using the convention $\text{tr}1 = 4$, together with $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$ only, then

$$\hat{\Pi}_\sigma^{I\sigma} = -8(\mu - 1)[(\mu - 2)A_{3-\mu} + B_{2-\mu} + B_{3-\mu} - 2C_{3-\mu}] \quad (4.1)$$

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\sigma\rho}^I x^\sigma x^\rho = -4[B_{2-\mu} + (\mu - 1)B_{3-\mu} + 2D_{3-\mu} + 2E_{3-\mu}] \quad (4.2)$$

where we have defined the various linear combinations of purely bosonic graphs as

$$A_\xi = 2\langle\alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi\rangle - \langle\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \xi - 1\rangle \quad (4.3)$$

$$B_\xi = \langle\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \xi\rangle + 2\langle\alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi\rangle \\ - 4\langle\alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \xi\rangle + \langle\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \xi - 1\rangle \quad (4.4)$$

$$C_\xi = \langle\alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi - 1\rangle - \langle\alpha, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi\rangle \quad (4.5)$$

$$D_\xi = 2\langle\alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 2, \alpha, \xi\rangle - \langle\alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi - 1\rangle \\ - \langle\alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi\rangle \quad (4.6)$$

$$E_\xi = \langle\alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha, \xi\rangle - 2\langle\alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi\rangle \quad (4.7)$$

where the general two loop integral $\langle\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\rangle$ is defined in fig. 6. The reason for these particular linear combinations will become apparent later.

Whilst we pointed out that $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ itself was finite, with respect to vertex subgraph divergences, the various integrals making up the definitions in (4.3)-(4.7) are in fact divergent when one substitutes the value $\alpha = \mu$, which is the leading order value, with respect to N_f , we are interested in. The reason for this divergence is that μ is the anti-uniqueness value of a bosonic field, [8], and in, say (2.4), we would have terms such as $a(\mu + \eta/2) \sim -2/(\eta\Gamma(\mu))$. The main point to realise is that whilst individual graphs such as $\langle\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, 3 - \mu\rangle$, for example, suffer from this infinity, in their sum the infinities will cancel and it is therefore important to arrange the calculation in such a way that this happens. Indeed this is the reason for the choice of combinations in (4.3)-(4.7).

We now illustrate this but note that first we need to rewrite each integral in such a way that the divergence, $1/(\mu - \alpha)$, is displayed explicitly. One clue to this is to realise that if each integral could be written in terms of integrals with no anti-uniqueness then that graph would itself be finite, with, hopefully, a coefficient involving $1/(\mu - \alpha)$. Recently, various recursion relations to reduce the exponents of lines in two loop graphs of the form of fig. 6 have been given in [22] and used in [6]. As they are completely general, they can be applied to the present problem and we have illustrated those we used in figs. 7-10, where the + or - indicates the addition or subtraction of 1 to the exponent of the corresponding propagator of the left side. Also, a propagator joining the endpoints corresponds to multiplying the integral by appropriate powers of x^2 , to ensure the dimensions of each term is consistent.

To illustrate these points, we derive an expression for C_ξ by using figs.

7 and 8, respectively, for each term of (4.5), to find

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\xi &= \frac{(4\alpha + \xi - 2\mu - 4)(3\mu - 4\alpha - \xi + 3)}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \\
&\quad \times \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\xi(\xi + 1 - \mu)}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where the two terms involving the divergent integral $\langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi \rangle$ have cancelled. From (4.8), we see that C_ξ involves graphs with no anti-uniqueness when $\alpha = \mu$ and it is therefore finite. For $C_{3-\mu}$, only the second term of (4.8) survives and we are left to evaluate $\langle \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 4 - \mu \rangle$ which can be achieved by making the successive transformations $\nearrow$ and $\searrow$ in the notation of [8], to yield $\langle \mu - 2, 2, 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 2 \rangle$. Applying the rule of fig. 9 to this leaves the graph $\langle \mu - 1, 1, 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 1 \rangle$, which has a unique vertex. Thus,

$$C_{3-\mu} = \frac{2(\mu - 3)\pi^{2\mu}}{(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.9)$$

In effect the process to evaluate the combinations is two stage. The first involves rewriting the constituent integrals to yield finite ones, before evaluating for the specific cases of $\xi = 2 - \mu$ or $3 - \mu$, we require. In the appendix, we have listed the values of the basic finite integrals analogous to $\langle \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 4 - \mu \rangle$. They were also deduced by the recursion relations, which allows one to rewrite an unknown integral in terms of others in the sequence which have already been evaluated. Further, we have also listed the expressions for the remaining combinations, (4.3)-(4.7), though we note their derivation was more involved than C_ξ .

One graph, $\langle \alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi \rangle$, which occurs in some of the combinations but does not contribute to C_ξ , deserves special mention. Whilst it is divergent, we had to use the following circuitous argument to write it with explicit $1/(\mu - \alpha)$ factors. First, applying the rule of fig. 9, gives

$$\langle \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 2, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle + \frac{(\mu - \alpha - \xi)}{(\alpha - 1)} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we apply the transformation of $\leftarrow$ of [8] to $\langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 2, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle$, use a recursion relation before applying the inverse, $\leftarrow$. Of the three integrals which now result, two are finite, $\langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 2, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 3, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 3 \rangle$ with a factor $1/(\mu - \alpha)$ in their coefficient, whilst the remaining, $\langle \alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 2, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle$ still has a hidden divergence. Applying

the rule of fig. 10 to this latter integral, the integral we are interested in emerges, whilst the remainder are finite. Thus, collecting the various contributions and rearranging, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& b(\alpha, \xi) \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi \rangle \\
&= c(\alpha, \xi) \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \\
&+ \frac{(\xi + 1)d(\alpha, \xi)}{(\mu - \alpha)} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 3, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 2 \rangle \\
&+ \frac{(2\alpha - \mu - 3)d(\alpha, \xi)}{(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 1)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi)} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 2, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \\
&+ \frac{(\xi + 1)(2\alpha - \mu - 3)}{(\mu - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 2, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 2 \rangle \quad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b(\alpha, \xi) &= 1 - \frac{(2\alpha - \mu - 3)(\alpha - 2)}{(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 2)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 1)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi)} \\
c(\alpha, \xi) &= \frac{(\mu - \alpha - \xi)}{(\alpha - 1)} + \frac{(2\alpha - \mu - 3)(\alpha - 2)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 1)}{(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 1)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi)} \\
d(\alpha, \xi) &= \frac{(3\mu - 4\alpha - \xi + 3)(4\alpha - 2\mu + \xi - 4)}{(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 2)} \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

and we have displayed the $1/(\mu - \alpha)$ pieces explicitly. Thus, simply

$$[(\mu - \alpha) \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, 3 - \mu \rangle] |_{\alpha=\mu} = \frac{(\mu - 1)\pi^{2\mu}}{(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.13)$$

$$[(\mu - \alpha) \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, 4 - \mu \rangle] |_{\alpha=\mu} = \frac{(\mu - 1)\pi^{2\mu}}{2(\mu - 3)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.14)$$

which completes the basic results required to compute (4.3)-(4.7). Thus from the results listed in the appendix,

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\sigma}^{I\sigma} = - \frac{8\pi^{2\mu}(5\mu^2 - 11\mu + 4)}{(\mu - 1)(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.15)$$

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\sigma\rho}^I x^{\sigma} x^{\rho} = - \frac{4\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu^3 - 13\mu^2 + 21\mu - 8)}{(\mu - 1)(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.16)$$

To complete the calculation, the contribution from $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{II}$ is simplified considerably by noticing that from the integration by parts rules of figs. 4 and 5, the factor multiplying $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{II}$ is $(\mu - \alpha)^2$, so that in applying the recursion

relations on its constituent bosonic integrals, very few will survive in the limit as $\alpha \rightarrow \mu$. Essentially, one need only isolate those terms which lead to $(\mu - \alpha)\langle\alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \xi\rangle$. We found,

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{II} = \frac{16\pi^{2\mu}}{(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2} \right] \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, overall,

$$\hat{\Pi} = \frac{8\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu^2 - 21\mu + 25)}{(4\mu - 7)(\mu - 1)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\hat{\Xi} = -2\hat{\Pi} - \frac{16\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu - 3)(\mu - 2)(\mu - 3)}{(4\mu - 7)(\mu - 1)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (4.19)$$

One final point to note is that in the list of finite integrals we used, given in the appendix, the function $ChT(1, 1) = 3\pi^{2\mu}a(2\mu - 2)a(1)[\psi'(\mu - 1) - \psi'(1)]$ appears, where $ChT(\alpha, \beta) = \langle\alpha, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \beta, \mu - 1\rangle$ in the notation of [8] and $\psi(x)$ is the logarithmic derivative of the Γ -function. It turns out that this function cancels in the final expression for $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$.

5 Discussion.

Having calculated the graph of fig. 2, we can now evaluate the determinant of the matrix formed by (3.5) and (3.11). With the leading values $\alpha = \mu$, $\beta = 1$ and $\lambda = \mu - 2$ for the terms not involving $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ and noting, [6],

$$z = - \frac{\mu(2\mu - 3)\Gamma^2(\mu)\eta_1}{4\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu - 1)(\mu - 2)N_f} + O\left(\frac{1}{N_f^2}\right) \quad (5.1)$$

a little algebra gives

$$\lambda = \mu - 2 - \frac{(2\mu - 3)(\mu - 3)\eta_1}{N_f} + O\left(\frac{1}{N_f^2}\right) \quad (5.2)$$

We make several comments. First, it is easy to see that in three dimensions the $O(1/N_f)$ correction vanishes, so that $\beta'(g_c) = 1 + O(1/N_f^2)$. Second, one question which arises in applying the formalism of [7, 8] concerns which perturbative renormalization scheme the critical exponents relate to. In the conventional approach to calculating $\beta(g)$, the first few terms of the perturbative series are independent of the renormalization scheme one chooses.

Beyond this, at three and higher loops, one must specify the scheme, ie the manner in which one removes the divergences to obtain the finite Green's functions of the theory. One such scheme is minimal subtraction, where only the divergent terms and no finite pieces are absorbed into renormalization constants. Moreover, this scheme is a mass independent scheme. In other models, as well as QED, the exponents one calculates by the method we used here, can be related to the corresponding renormalization group functions and therefore compared with these functions to the orders they are known. So far they are in agreement with $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme functions, but there remains the question of whether this is true to all orders in perturbation theory. Intuitively, this is perhaps obvious because the exponents are deduced at a point of the theory where the mass vanishes, so that they would have to correspond to a mass independent scheme. As the β -function for QED has been explicitly calculated in the large N_f expansion in an MS scheme, [3, 4], we can thus compare the exponent we obtained, to that derived from the renormalization of [3, 4], and find both are in agreement. By the same reasoning, we assume that similar exponents in other models calculated using the method of [7, 8] give $\overline{\text{MS}}$ information to all orders in perturbation theory.

The remainder of our discussion is devoted to the algorithm to go beyond (5.2), based on the self consistency approach given here. First, the terms of (3.5) and (3.11) have to be expanded to the next order in $1/N_f$. For the one loop graphs and 2-point scaling functions, this is straightforward and, moreover, z has been computed to $O(1/N_f^2)$ in [6]. Next, the correction to $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ has to be calculated, but this is now possible given our discussion of sect. 4 where the calculation of the linear combinations, (4.3)-(4.7), were discussed in general terms and, therefore, much of the machinery is already in place to treat the corrections. The main computing effort lies, though, in obtaining the values of the higher order graphs of figs. 11 and 12 and others whose evaluation lie beyond the scope of the present paper. These graphs fall into two classes. For the two loop graph, we must consider corrections to both the electron and photon propagators, (2.2) and (2.3). As we remarked earlier, an insertion on the completely internal line yields a finite graph, whilst that on a line joining an external vertex will be divergent. However, the procedure to regularize and renormalize these divergences was given in [6]. For the graphs of fig. 12, which give corrections by the same argument that $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ was needed for λ_1 , one need only consider corrections to the photon propagator, which means the four loop graph is finite with respect to vertex

subgraph divergences.

We conclude with several remarks. First, we believe that it is now viable to push calculations in QED to $O(1/N_f^2)$ in arbitrary dimensions. We have stated earlier why such calculations are required for four dimensional perturbation theory, but note that, of course, we will simultaneously deduce useful information about the three dimensional model. Second, as the method will be very involved, it might be more appropriate to examine the practicalities in a model with similar properties, but with simpler $O(1/N_f^2)$ structure, such as the $O(N)$ Gross Neveu model, where λ_2 is unknown too. Also, the basic integrals which occur in that model will be relevant for the QED calculation.

A Various finite integrals.

In this appendix, we summarize the various building blocks required for $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$, giving the exact values of various finite integrals first.

$$\langle \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 4 - \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^2}{(\mu - 2)^2 \Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 4 - \mu \rangle \\ &= -\frac{a(4 - \mu)}{a^3(1)} \left[\frac{\pi^{2\mu} \Gamma(\mu - 1) \Gamma(2 - \mu)}{\Gamma(2\mu - 3)} + (\mu - 2)^2 ChT(1, 1) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\langle \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 5 - \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^3}{2(\mu - 3)(\mu - 2)^2 \Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 5 - \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^2}{2(\mu - 2)(\mu - 3) \Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu - 3, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 5 - \mu \rangle \\ &= \frac{a(5 - \mu)}{a(1)a^2(2)} \left[(\mu - 3)^2 ChT(1, 1) + \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu^2 - 7\mu + 11)a(1)}{(\mu - 2)^3 a(3 - \mu)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 2, 5 - \mu \rangle \\ &= \frac{a(5 - \mu)(\mu - 2)}{a^3(2)} \left[ChT(1, 1) - \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 3)a(1)}{(\mu - 2)^3 a(3 - \mu)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 2, \mu - 1, 5 - \mu \rangle \\ &= \frac{a(5 - \mu)}{a^3(2)} \left[ChT(1, 1) + \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu^2 - 12\mu + 17)a(2)}{(\mu - 2)^2 a(3 - \mu)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 6 - \mu \rangle \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^2(5\mu^3 - 27\mu^2 + 40\mu - 24)}{18(\mu - 2)^2(\mu - 3)(\mu - 4)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 6 - \mu \rangle \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^2(3\mu^2 - 14\mu + 12)}{12(\mu - 2)(\mu - 3)^2(\mu - 4)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 2, \mu - 1, 6 - \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 4)(\mu - 1)^2}{4(\mu - 2)(\mu - 3)^2 \Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\langle \mu - 2, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 2, 6 - \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^2}{6(\mu - 3)(\mu - 4)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\langle \mu - 3, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, \mu - 1, 6 - \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 1)^2}{3(\mu - 2)(\mu - 4)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Next, we give the explicit expressions for each finite linear combination of divergent graphs defined in sect. 4, for arbitrary ξ .

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\xi &= \frac{2(\mu - \alpha)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 1)}{(\alpha - 1)^3} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \\
&+ [(2\mu - \xi - \alpha - 1)(\mu - \alpha - \xi) - (\mu - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)](3\mu - 4\alpha - \xi + 2) \\
&\times \frac{(4\alpha + \xi - 2\mu - 3)}{(\alpha - 1)^4} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \quad (A.13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\xi &= \frac{(4\alpha + \xi - 2\mu - 3)(3\mu - 4\alpha - \xi + 2)}{(\alpha - 1)^4} \left[\frac{(\mu - 1)\xi(\xi - \mu + 1)}{(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2(\mu - \alpha)^2 \right] \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \\
&+ \frac{2(\mu - \alpha)}{(\alpha - 1)^3} \left[2(\mu - \alpha)(2\alpha - \mu - 1) - \frac{(\mu - 1)\xi(\xi - \mu + 1)}{(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 1)} \right] \\
&\times \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \quad (A.14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\xi &= \frac{(4\alpha + \xi - 2\mu - 4)(3\mu - 4\alpha - \xi + 3)}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi \rangle \\
&- \frac{\xi(\mu - \xi - 1)}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \quad (A.15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\xi &= -\frac{2(\mu - \alpha)(\alpha + \xi - 2)}{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi \rangle \\
&+ \frac{\xi}{(\alpha - 1)} \left[2 + \frac{(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 3)(\mu - \alpha - \xi)}{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)} \right] \\
&\times \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \\
&+ \frac{2(\mu - \alpha)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 2)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 3)}{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 2)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 1)} \\
&\times \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi - 1 \rangle \\
&+ f(\alpha, \xi) \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi \rangle \quad (A.16)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\xi &= \frac{\xi(\mu - \xi - 1)}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \xi + 1 \rangle \\
&- \frac{2(\mu - \alpha)}{(\alpha - 1)} \langle \alpha - 1, \alpha - 1, \alpha, \alpha - 1, \xi \rangle \quad (A.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\alpha, \xi) &= \frac{(3\mu - 4\alpha - \xi + 3)(\mu - \alpha - \xi + 1)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 2)}{(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 1)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 2)} \\
&\times [(\alpha - 1)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 1)(4\alpha + 2\xi - 3\mu - 4)(2\alpha + \xi - 4) \\
&+ (\mu - \alpha - \xi + 1)(2\mu - 2\alpha - \xi + 2) \\
&\times [(\mu - \xi)(2\alpha + \xi - \mu - 3) - (4\alpha + 2\xi - 3\mu - 4)(\mu - 1)]] \quad (\text{A.18})
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the finite expressions for the various combinations we needed are,

$$A_{2-\mu} = \frac{2\pi^{2\mu}}{(\mu - 1)^2\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$A_{3-\mu} = -\frac{2\pi^{2\mu}(\mu^2 - 4\mu + 2)}{(\mu - 1)^2(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$B_{2-\mu} = \frac{2\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu - 3)}{(\mu - 1)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$B_{3-\mu} = \frac{\pi^{2\mu}(2\mu^2 - 7\mu + 4)}{(\mu - 1)(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

$$C_{3-\mu} = \frac{2\pi^{2\mu}(\mu - 3)}{(\mu - 2)\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

$$D_{3-\mu} = E_{3-\mu} = -\frac{2\pi^{2\mu}}{\Gamma^2(\mu)} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

References.

- [1] S.G. Gorishny, A.L. Kataev & S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. **194B** (1987), 429; S.G. Gorishny, A.L. Kataev, S.A. Larin & S.R. Surguladze, Proc. Quark '90 Conference, (Telavi, 1990) eds A.N. Tavkhelidze, V.A. Matveev, V.A. Rubakov & P.G. Tinyakov, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991); Phys. Lett. **256B** (1991), 81.
- [2] S.G. Gorishny, S.A. Larin, L.R. Surguladze & F.V. Tkachov, Comput. Phys. Comm. **55** (1989), 389.
- [3] A. Palanques-Mestre & P. Pascual, Comm. Math. Phys. **95** (1984), 277.
- [4] D. Espriu, A. Palanques-Mestre, P. Pascual & R. Tarrach, Z. Phys. **C13** (1982), 153.
- [5] J.A. Gracey, J. Phys. **A24** (1991), L431.
- [6] J.A. Gracey, 'Computation of critical exponent η at $O(1/N_f^2)$ in quantum electrodynamics in arbitrary dimensions', preprint.
- [7] A.N. Vasil'ev, Yu.M. Pis'mak & J.R. Honkonen, Theor. Math. Phys. **46** (1981), 157.
- [8] A.N. Vasil'ev, Yu.M. Pis'mak & J.R. Honkonen, Theor. Math. Phys. **47** (1981), 291.
- [9] J.A. Gracey, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1990), 395; 2755(E).
- [10] J.A. Gracey, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991), 737; **B352** (1991), 183.
- [11] P. Pascual & R. Tarrach, 'QCD: renormalization for the practitioner' Lecture Notes in Physics, **194** (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [12] J.A. Gracey, J. Phys. **A25** (1992), L109.
- [13] A.N. Vasil'ev, Yu.M. Pis'mak & J.R. Honkonen, Theor. Math. Phys. **48** (1981), 750.
- [14] A.A. Slavnov & L.D. Faddeev, 'Introduction to the quantum theory of gauge fields', (Nauka, Moscow, 1978).
- [15] W.E. Caswell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974), 244; D.R.T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. **B75** (1974), 531.

- [16] E.S. Egoryan & O.V. Tarasov, Theor. Math. Phys. **41** (1979), 26.
- [17] O.V. Tarasov, A.A. Vladimirov & A.Yu. Zharkov, Phys. Lett. **93B** (1980), 429.
- [18] M. d'Eramo, L. Peliti & G. Parisi, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **2** (1971), 878.
- [19] N.I. Ussyukina, Theor. Math. Phys. **54** (1983), 78; Phys. Lett. **267B** (1991), 382.
- [20] D.I. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **133B** (1983), 406; Theor. Math. Phys. **58** (1985), 343; **62** (1985), 127.
- [21] D.I. Kazakov & A.V. Kotikov, Theor. Math. Phys. **73** (1987), 1264.
- [22] J.A. Gracey, Phys. Lett. **277B** (1992), 469.

Figure Captions.

Fig. 1. Skeleton Dyson equations for ψ^i and A_μ .

Fig. 2. Additional graph contributing to λ_1 .

Fig. 3. Uniqueness rule for a bosonic vertex.

Fig. 4. Integration by parts rule for a gauge vertex.

Fig. 5. Additional integration by parts rule.

Fig. 6. General two loop self energy graph.

Fig. 7. Recursion relation for two loop self energy which increases t_1 by 1.

Fig. 8. Recursion relation for two loop self energy which reduces s_1 by 1.

Fig. 9. Recursion relation for two loop self energy which increases t_2 by 1.

Fig. 10. Recursion relation for two loop self energy which reduces t_1 by 1.

Fig. 11. Higher order corrections for electron self energy.

Fig. 12. Higher order corrections for photon self energy.